1

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

v.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Aug 20, 2024

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JOSE DE JESUS AVINA LOPEZ and JOSE CARLOS AVINA ARROYO,

Plaintiffs,

KENNETH D. BAWDEN, JR., UR M. JADDOU, and ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-CV-141-MKD

ORDER DISMISSING CASE

On May 1, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. ECF No. 1. On May 14, 2024, Plaintiffs filed proofs of service, indicating copies of the summonses and the Complaint were sent by mail to the named Defendants. ECF No. 3. Plaintiffs did not, however, also serve the United States, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i)(2).

On August 5, 2024, the Court issued an order directing Plaintiffs to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), for

failing to timely serve the Complaint. ECF No. 4. To date, Plaintiffs have not responded.

The Court "must dismiss the action without prejudice" if "a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). More than 90 days has elapsed since Plaintiffs filed their Complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. This action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to serve the Complaint, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment, provide copies to the parties, and CLOSE the file.

DATED August 20, 2024.

<u>s/Mary K. Dimke</u> MARY K. DIMKE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE